

David Geffen Role for pre-procedure genetic counseling in pregnancies interrupted for fetal anomalies School of Medicine

Alex A. Francoeur MD, Emily J. Hansman BA, Katherine Peters BA, Radhika Rible MD, Deborah Krakow MD, Ilina Pluym MD University of California Los Angeles

Background

- Birth defects are identified in 3-5% of pregnancies.
- There has been an increase in access to complex genetic testing methods especially in the case of ultrasound or genetic screening abnormalities

Objective

- **Evaluate** the association between genetic counseling and diagnostic genetic testing
- **Identify** types of fetal anomalies most likely to receive genetic testing
- **Examine** yield of genetic testing per type of fetal anomaly

Study Design

- Retrospective cohort study
- · Inclusion: Pregnancies referred for termination with suspected structural or genetic fetal anomalies over a 4 year period
- Variables collected: demographics, genetic screening results, diagnostic testing results, ultrasound findings
- Patients were identified as having a primary genetic abnormality (abnormal serum analytes or NIPT) or a primary structural abnormality (as identified on ultrasound)
- Analysis: chi squared, fischer exact, multivariate logistic regression

Results

From 2016-2020, 400 pregnancies identified (55% genetic, 45% isolated structural)



55% of all pregnancies with anomalies received genetic counseling



Patients who received genetic counseling were 2 times more likely to get diagnostic testing (aOR 2.21 [1.25-3.90] 88% vs. 74%, p< 0.001)



Pregnancies with primary genetic conditions were more likely to get diagnostic genetic testing compared to those with primary structural anomalies (92% vs. 82%, p= 0.016)

Isolated structural anomalies had low yield of karyotype (7%) and microarray (10%)

Genetic counseling prior to termination of pregnancy is associated with higher rates of diagnostic testing and should be offered to all pregnancies with fetal anomalies.



Questions? Take a picture of this QR code or email Dr. Francoeur at afrancoeur@mednet.ucla.edu Table 1. Genetic Testing by Type of Anomaly

	n	Any diagnostic test performed	Abnormal diagnostic test	Abnormal karyotype	Abnormal microarray
Chromosomal	199	182/199 (92%)	168/182 (92%)	148/165 (90%)	31/33 (94%)
Multisystem	59	43/59 (73%)	5/43 (12%)	2/30 (7%)	3/24 (13%)
Neurologic	51	35/51 (69%)	3/35 (8%)	3/25 (12%)	0/12 (0%)
Cardiac	28	17/28 (61%)	2/17 (12%)	1/11 (9%)	1/9 (11%)
Skeletal	24	20/24 (83%)	1/20 (5%)	0/14 (0%)	1/11 (9%)
Genitourinary	22	17/22 (77%)	3/17 (18%)	1/4 (25%)	2/8 (25%)
Facial	6	6/6 (100%)	0/6 (0%)	0/6 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Chest	5	3/5 (60%)	0/3 (0%)	0/2 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Gastrointestinal	4	4/4 (100%)	0/4 (0%)	0/4 (0%)	0/3 (0%)

Table 2. Adjusted Odds Ratio for Receiving Diagnostic Testing with Karyotype and/or Microarray

	Diagnostic testing performed n=327 (%)	No diagnostic testing performed n=73 (%)	OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)
Genetic counseling				
Yes	196 (60.0)	26 (35.6)		
No	131 (40.0)	47 (64.4)	2.70 (1.60-4.58)	2.21 (1.25-3.90)
Type of anomaly				
Chromosomal	182 (55.7)	17 (23.3)	Referent	Referent
Multisystem	43 (13.2)	16 (21.9)	0.25 (0.12-0.54)	0.26 (0.11-0.59)
Neurologic	35 (10.7)	16 (21.9)	0.20 (0.09-0.44)	0.24 (0.10-0.57)
Cardiac	17 (5.2)	11 (15.1)	0.14 (0.06-0.36)	0.18 (0.07-0.48)
Skeletal	20 (6.1)	4 (5.5)	0.47 (0.14-1.52)	0.52 (0.15-1.80)
Genitourinary	17 (5.2)	5 (6.9)	0.32 (0.10-0.97)	0.32 (0.09-1.03)
Facial	6 (1.8)	2 (2.7)	0.28 (0.05-1.50)	0.40 (0.07-2.29)
Chest	3 (0.9)	2 (2.7)	0.14 (0.22-0.90)	0.10 (0.01-0.69)
Gastrointestinal	4 (1.2)	0 (0)		
Isolated structural				
abnormality				
Yes	267 (81.7)	68 (93.2)		
No	60 (18.4)	5 (6.8)	0.32 (0.12-0.85)	0.79 (0.27-2.35)
Bold identifies statistical sig	nificance		· ·	

Conclusion



• Genetic counseling should be offered to all presenting for termination for anomalies Workup for isolated anomalies should move beyond karyotype and microarray due to low yield of abnormal results and panels for single-gene disorders or exome sequencing may be considered